



RESEARCH FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

The Oregon Gambling Research Center is requesting proposals for research that addresses gambling, problem gambling, or gambling-related issues facing Oregon and the nation.

The Oregon Gambling Research Center supports rigorous, objective, and accessible research. We will support a range of projects that develop expertise in the areas of gambling, problem gambling, problem gambling services, workforce development, or gambling policy. Proposals are requested semi-annually.

We welcome submissions from a broad range of fields and topics! All projects that explore issues related to problem gambling and gambling will be considered, examples include: implications for policy changes in Oregon; best practices for effective player protections; marketing of treatment; role of new technologies in gambling; youth gambling; sports betting; gambling in underserved communities, including veterans, people with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+ persons in Oregon.

DETAILS

- Open to all graduate students, faculty, or community-based researchers in Oregon.
- Several awards will be granted, from \$3,000 up to \$20,000.
- Researchers may analyze existing data or conduct their own original data collection.
- Priority will be given to projects that focus on Oregon populations or directly benefit those in Oregon.
- Creative ways to disseminate research findings to non-academic audiences is encouraged.
- Graduate students in sociology, psychology, social work, epidemiology, public health, biostatistics, or similar fields are encouraged to apply. (Graduate students must have a faculty advisor's endorsement.)
- Research involving human subjects must obtain IRB approval from an academic institution.
- Collaborations are welcome.
- If you have a project in mind that does not fit the parameters outlined here, or have any questions about eligibility or the application process, email us at research@oregoncpg.org

TIMELINE

Applications are due June 15, 2022. Award recipients will be announced by June 30, 2022. Data collection must be completed by June 30, 2023.



HOW TO APPLY FOR PROBLEM GAMBLING RESEARCH FUNDS

Applicants should prepare a proposal addressing the following questions:

1. Name, affiliation, contact information, and role in proposed research. (If working collaboratively, list details for each researcher).
2. Award amount requested (\$3,000 up to \$20,000), and budget justification. Budget should be reasonable, detailed, and amounts should support project goals. Reviewers may request modifications, depending on the size and scope of the project and available funding. (see attached budget template for allowable items).
3. What research question(s) will you address and brief background on your topic (≤ 500 words).
4. What potential contributions will this study make to the fields of problem gambling treatment, prevention, policy, and/or awareness? How will your findings benefit people in Oregon?
5. What is your proposed study design? (Submissions should emphasize how the project will be executed, including a detailed strategy on any research methodology and research plans)
6. Human Subjects Research: if you are conducting primary research with human subjects (including both exempt and full review formats), your submission should outline how human subject reviews will be undertaken with an appropriate institutional review board.
7. The anticipated timeline for the project, including start and completion dates.
8. How will your research findings be communicated? (I.e. deliverables: conferences, white papers, academic journal publications, posters, trainings, social media, advocacy, etc.)
9. How does this research project fit with your academic and career goals?

Other important information:

- Please number your responses to each question or include the question in your proposal.
- For graduate students: In lieu of a recommendation letter, when submitting your proposal, cc your advisor in the email and include the following statement in the body of the email:
“My advisor (advisor’s name, institutional affiliation, email address) has read this proposal and views this as an appropriate project for me.”
- Submit completed applications as an attached pdf or word document to research@oregoncpg.org no later than 11:59 pm PST on **June 15, 2022**. Proposals will be de-identified and blind-reviewed by committee using attached scoring rubric.



OREGON COUNCIL on PROBLEM GAMBLING

BUDGET DETAILS		
Allowable Items	Amount Requested	Total
Researcher stipends (list each researcher and length of time/effort on project):		
Participant Incentives:		
Supplies:		
Travel Expenses (for conferences or to conduct research):		
Other Expenses:		
SUBTOTAL		
Facilities and Administration Costs (up to 10% of direct costs)		
TOTAL AWARD REQUESTED		
Briefly explain and justify the above costs:		

SCORING RUBRIC for PROPOSALS

	EXEMPLARY (4-5 pts)	ADEQUATE (2-3 pts)	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (0-1 pt)	SCORE
Research Question (10%)	Clearly articulates a research question that can be answered.	States a clear, but untestable research question.	States a vague, untestable research question.	
Project Description and Contribution (25%)	Provides a strong rationale for the project, with evidence-based justification that clearly explains activities and outcomes. Clear intellectual contribution to gambling or problem gambling and clear value to Oregon population.	Does not adequately introduce the topic with evidence-based justification for the project. Contribution is vaguely described. Reviewers must infer some of the relevance/contribution to intellectual field and/or value to Oregon population.	Does not introduce the topic and/or has no evidence-based justification for the project. The relevance and/or intellectual contribution to gambling or problem gambling fields or value to Oregon population is not clear/missing.	
Study Design (30%)	Project activities fully described in appropriate detail; the proposed methodology, strategy or approach are reasonable and support the project goals. It is clear how objectives will be accomplished. Describes precise steps to carry out/achieve each objective.	Project activities are described. Proposed methodology is appropriate. Description is generic, not precise, and/or it is not completely clear how objectives will be accomplished.	Fails to describe project activities in detail. It is unclear how objectives will be accomplished.	
Dissemination (10%)	Outcomes and/or tangible deliverables are thoroughly described. A clear strategy for dissemination is presented that includes non-academic audiences.	A partial explanation of the project outcomes and/or tangible deliverables. Some elements are ambiguous. It is unclear how outcomes and/or deliverables will be disseminated.	Project outcomes and/or tangible deliverables are unclear. Dissemination is not addressed.	
Timeline and Feasibility (10%)	Clear timeline that is reasonable for the proposed project. (Including IRB approval, when applicable)	Timeline that is vague or overly ambitious for the completion of proposed project.	Project is not feasible and/or time not specified.	
Budget Justification(15%)	Budget details are complete and computations accurate. Budget items are explained, fit with project objectives, and well justified. The level of effort to complete the project corresponds to requested budget.	Budget details are complete and computations accurate. Budget items are explained without sufficient detail to understand how they support the project objectives, or the level of effort to complete the project does not clearly correspond to requested budget.	Components of budget are missing and/or computations inaccurate. Items are not justified and/or do not fit with project objectives. The level of effort to complete the project does not correspond to requested budget.	